

Supreme Court of Misconsin

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

110 E. MAIN STREET, SUITE 215 P.O. Box 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY Users: Call WI TRS at 1-800-947-3529; request (608) 266-1880 Fax (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov Diane M. Fremgen Clerk of Supreme Court

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT MONTHLY STATISTICAL REPORT

MARCH 2013

This statistical report presents information about the case filings and dispositions of the Wisconsin Supreme Court during the month of March 2013 and to date for the term that began on September 1, 2012.

Opinions Issued by the Court

The Supreme Court issued opinions resolving 10 cases in March. Information about these opinions, including the Court's dispositions and the names of the authoring justices, can be found on the attached table.

	March 2013	Term to Date
Total number of cases resolved by opinion	<u>10</u>	<u>43</u>
Attorney disciplinary cases	5	29
Judicial disciplinary cases		0
Civil cases		8
Criminal cases	3	6

Petitions for Review

A total of 98 petitions for review were filed during the month. A petition for review asks the Supreme Court to review the decision of the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court's jurisdiction is discretionary, meaning that review is granted in selected cases only. In March, the Supreme Court disposed of 47 petitions for review, of which 3 petitions were granted. The Supreme Court currently has 297 petitions for review pending.

<u>Ma</u>	arch 2013	Term to Date
Petitions for Review filed	<u>98</u>	<u>463</u>
Civil cases	52	211
Criminal cases	46	252

Petition for Review dispositions	<u>47</u>	<u>452</u>
Civil cases (petitions granted)	12 (1)	214 (16)
Criminal cases (petitions granted)	35 (2)	238 (18)

Petitions for Bypass

In March, the Supreme Court received 0 petitions for bypass and disposed of 0 petitions for bypass. In a petition for bypass, a party requests that the Supreme Court take jurisdiction of an appeal or other proceeding pending in the Court of Appeals. A matter appropriate for bypass is usually one which meets one or more of the criteria for review by the Supreme Court and one the Supreme Court concludes it will ultimately choose to consider regardless of how the Court of Appeals might decide the issues. A petition for bypass may also be granted where there is a clear need to hasten the ultimate appellate decision. The Supreme Court currently has 1 petition for bypass pending.

<u>Mar</u>	rch 2013	Term to Date
Petitions for Bypass filed	<u>0</u> 0 0	9 6 3
Petition for Bypass dispositions	$\overline{0}$ (0)	14 12 (2) 2 (0)

Requests for Certification

During March 2013, the Supreme Court received 0 requests for certification and disposed of 0 requests for certification. In a request for certification, the Court of Appeals asks the Supreme Court to exercise its appellate jurisdiction before the Court of Appeals hears the matter. A request for certification is decided on the basis of the same criteria as a petition to bypass. The Supreme Court currently has 1 request for certification pending.

<u>]</u>	March 2013	Term to Date
Requests for Certification filed	0	5/2 3
Request for Certification dispositions	$\overline{0}$ (0)	9 6 (4) 3 (3)

Regulatory Matters, Supervisory Writs, and Original Actions

During the month, a total of 4 matters within the regulatory jurisdiction of the Court (bar admission, lawyer discipline, and judicial discipline) were filed and 2 such cases were reopened. The Supreme Court also received 8 petitions for supervisory writ, which ask the Supreme Court to order the Court of Appeals or a circuit court to take a certain action in a case. No original actions were filed. An original action is a petition asking the Supreme Court to take jurisdiction over a particular matter. When an opinion is issued in these cases, the disposition is included in "Opinions Issued by the Court" above; otherwise, the case is disposed of by order and is included in the totals below. The Supreme Court currently has 35 regulatory matters and 9 petitions for supervisory writ pending.

<u>Mar</u>		Term to Date	
<u>Filings</u>			
Attorney discipline (including reopened cases)	6	43	
Judicial discipline	0	0	
Bar admission		0	
Petitions for Supervisory Writ		36	
Other (including Original Actions)	0	0	
Dispositions by Order			
Attorney discipline	0	5	
Judicial discipline	0	0	
Bar admission	0	0	
Petitions for Supervisory Writ	8	36	
Other (including Original Actions)		4	

DECISIONS BY THE WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT

OPINIONS ISSUED DURING March 2013

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE CASES

Docket No.	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
2011AP443-D	Office of Lawyer Regulation (OLR) v. Victor M. Arellano Public Reprimand Per Curiam ¹	03/22/2013
2012AP371-D	OLR v. Richard J. Podell Dismissed Per Curiam	03/22/2013
2012AP1245-D	OLR v. Eric S. Brittain Public Reprimand Per Curiam	03/22/2013
2010AP1576-D 2011AP1764-D	OLR v. Jeffrey A. Reitz License Suspension Per Curiam	03/29/2013

CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CASES

Docket No.	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
2011AP407-CR 2011AP408-CR 2011AP409-CR	State v. Brent T. Novy Court of Appeals decision affirmed. <u>Majority Opinion</u> : Roggensack, J. <u>Concur</u> : Abrahamson, CJ. joined by Bradley, J. Prosser, J. did not participate	03/14//2013
2011AP000825 2011AP000826	Dane Co. DHS v. Mable K. Court of Appeals decision reversed and cause remanded to the circuit court. Majority Opinion: Bradley, J. Dissent: Ziegler, J. joined by Roggensack, J and Gableman, J.	03/29/2013

¹ "Per Curiam" means "by the Court." Opinions issued *per curiam* are handed down by the Court as a whole.